

**Before the
Federal Communications Commission
Washington, D.C. 20554**

In the Matter of)	
)	
Joint Petition for Declaratory Ruling on the)	
Assignment of Accounts (Traffic) Without the)	
Associated CSTP II Plans Under AT&T Tariff)	
F.C.C. No. 2)	
)	
On Referral by the United States Court of)	Internal File No. CCB/CPD 96-20
Appeals for the Third Circuit)	
)	
Combined Companies, Inc.)	
and)	
Winback & Conserve Program, Inc.,)	
One Stop Financial, Inc.,)	
Group Discounts, Inc.,)	
800 Discounts, Inc.,)	
)	
Petitioners,)	
)	
and)	
)	
AT&T Corp.,)	
)	
Respondent.)	

ORDER

Adopted: March 26, 2003**Released: March 26, 2003**

By the Deputy Chief, Pricing Policy Division, Wireline Competition Bureau:

Revised Filing Dates:

Comments: April 2, 2003**Reply Comments: April 15, 2003**

I. INTRODUCTION

1. On February 13, 2003, the Wireline Competition Bureau (Bureau) released a *Public Notice* in this matter, which stems from a primary jurisdiction referral brought before the

Commission in July of 1996.¹ The *Public Notice* seeks comment on two issues concerning AT&T's Tariff FCC No. 2 in effect in January 1995 and established comment and reply comment deadlines of March 6, 2003 and March 17, 2003.² At the request of Mr. Al Inga, the owner of petitioners Winback & Conserve Program, Inc., One Stop Financial, Inc., Group Discounts, Inc., and 800 Discounts, Inc., these dates were extended to March 27, and April 10, respectively.³ AT&T and Mr. Inga have agreed to and requested further extensions of time to file comments and reply comments to April 2, 2003, and April 15, 2003, respectively.⁴

2. We hereby grant these parties' request. It is the policy of the Commission that extensions of time are not routinely granted.⁵ We find, however, that there is good cause to extend the due dates for filing comments and reply comments to the Public Notice. As previously noted, this matter has been inactive for several years and seeks comment on a tariff that was in effect over eight years ago.⁶ An additional, brief extension of time will permit a more informed response to the *Public Notice* and will not prejudice any party. We do not, however, anticipate entertaining any additional time extension requests in this matter.

3. Accordingly, we grant the parties' request. Parties may file comments by **April 2, 2003** and reply comments by **April 15, 2003**. This matter shall continue to be treated as a "permit-but-disclose" proceeding in accordance with the Commission's *ex parte* rules.⁷ All other requirements discussed in the *Public Notice* remain in effect. For further information, please contact Judith Nitsche, Pricing Policy Division, Wireline Competition Bureau, (202) 418-1540.

4. ACCORDINGLY, IT IS ORDERED that, pursuant to sections 4(i), 4(j), and 5(c) of the Communications Act, 47 U.S.C. §§ 154(i), 154(j), 155(c), and sections 0.91, 0.291, 1.46 of the Commission's rules, 47 C.F.R. §§ 0.91, 0.291, 1.46, the extension of time requested by AT&T and Mr. Al Inga IS GRANTED.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

Deena M. Shetler
Deputy Chief, Pricing Policy Division
Wireline Competition Bureau

¹ See *Further Comment Requested on the Joint Petition for Declaratory Ruling on the Assignment of Accounts (Traffic) Without the Associated CSTEP II Plans Under AT&T Tariff FCC No. 2*, Internal File No. CCB/CPD 96-20, Public Notice, DA 03-436 (rel. Feb. 13, 2003) (*Public Notice*).

² *Id.*

³ See *Joint Petition for Declaratory Ruling on the Assignment of Accounts (Traffic) Without the Associated CSTEP II Plans Under AT&T Tariff FCC No. 2*, Internal File No. CCB/CPD 96-20, Order, DA 03-635 (rel. March 5, 2003) (*Order Granting Extension of Time*).

⁴ See Request for Extension of Time to File, Internal File No. CCB/CPD 96-20 (filed March 26, 2003).

⁵ 47 C.F.R. § 1.46(a).

⁶ See *Order Granting Extension of Time; Public Notice*.

⁷ 47 C.F.R. § 1.1206.